THE INFLUENCE OF FORAGE LEGUMES ON ANNUAL FODDER GRASSES IN DIFFERENT INTERCROPPING SYSTEMS IN THE LIMPOPO PROVINCE

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF AGRICULUTRE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF THE NORTH

\mathbf{BY}

MAHLODI SOLOMON BOLOKO

(B Agric. Education, B Agric Hons. UNIN)

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL MANAGEMENT

NOVEMBER, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT DEDICATION LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF TABLES THESIS ABSTRACT	I II III IV IV-VII VIII
CHAPTER 1	VIII
INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 ANIMAL PRODUCTION IN THE LIMPOPO PROVINCE 1.2 THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF PLANTED PASTURES IN THE PROVINCE 1.2.1 Motivation for the use of legumes 1.2.2 Motivation for the choice of species 1.2.3 INTERCROPPING 1.2.3.1 THE ADVANTAGES OF INTERCROPPING 1.2.3.1.1 Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) 1.2.3.2 OVERYIELDING 1.2.3.2.1 Competitive production principle 1.2.3.2.2 Facilitative production principle	1 1-3 3-5 6-8 8-10 10 10-11 11 12 12-13
1.2.4 THE PRODUCTION OF FODDER GRASS/LEGUME MIXTURES 1.2.4.1 The influence of grass/legume mixtures on dry matter (DM) yield 1.2.4.2 The influence of grass/legume mixtures on herbage protein and quality 1.2.4.3 The influence of grass/legume mixtures on animal production 1.2.4.4 The influence of nitrogen fertilizers on grass/legume composition 1.2.4.5 The influence of grass/legume intercropping on legume persistency	13 13-14 14-15 15-16 17-18 18-19
1.3 Hypothesis of the study	20
1.6 The objective of the study	20-21
CHAPTER 2	
MATERIAL AND METHODS	22

1

	2.1 EXPERIMENTAL SITE	22
	2.1.1 The UNIN Experimental farm	22-24
	2.1.2 The PTK Experimental farm	24-26
	2.1.3 The Dan district	26-28
	2.2 TREATMENTS	28-29
	2.3 PREPARATION AND LAYOUT OF EXPERIMETAL PLOTS	29
	2.4 ESTABLISHMENT OF THE CROPPING SYSTEMS	29-30
	2.5 DATA COLLECTION	30-33
	2.6 DATA ANALYSIS	33
	CHAPTER 3	
3	RESULTS ON THE UNIVERSITY OF THE NORTH EXPERIMETAL	34
	FARM	
	3.1.TOTAL DM PRODUCTION (t ha ⁻¹) OF DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	34
	3.1.1 DM production of the grass/legume mixtures	34-35
	5.1.1 DM production of the grass/legume mixtures	34-33
	3.2 THE EFFECT OF CUTTING TREATMENTS AND CROPPING	35
	SYSTEMS ON DM PRODUCTION (t ha ⁻¹) OF THE GRASS/LEGUME	
	MIXTURES	
	3.3 GRASS/LEGUME RATIOS	35-36
	3.4 PROTEIN CONTENT AND PRODUCTION OF DIFFERENT	36
	COMPONENTS	
	3.4.1 Protein content of the grass component	36-37
	3.4.2 Protein content of the leguminous component	37
	3.4.3 Total protein production (kg ha ⁻¹) of grass/legume mixtures	37-38
	3.5 FIBRE CONTENT (%) OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	38
	3.5.1 Fibre content of the grass component	38-39
	3.3.11 fore content of the grass component	30-37
	3.6 PHOSPHORUS CONTENT AND PRODUCTION OF DIFFERENT	39
	COMPONENTS	
	3.6.1 Phosphorus content of the grass component	39
	3.6.2 Phosphorus content of the leguminous component	40
	3.6.3 Total phosphorus production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	40-41
	3.7 CALCIUM CONTENT AND PRODUCTION OF THE DIFFERENT	41
	COMPONENTS	
	3.7.1 Calcium content of the grass component	41-42
	3.7.2 Calcium content of the leguminous component	42
	3.7.3 Total calcium production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	42-43

	3.8 FAT CONTENT (%) OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS 3.8.1 Fat content of the grass component	43 43
	3.9 ASH CONTENT (%) OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS 3.9.1 Ash content of the grass component	44 44
	3.10 DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS AT THE UNIN EXPERIMETAL FARM	44
	3.10.1 DM production (t ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures 3.10.2 Cutting treatments and total DM production (t ha ⁻¹) of grass/legume mixtures	44 45
	3.10.3 Grass/legume ratios (%)	45
	3.10.4 The protein content (%) of the grass component	45-46
	3.10.5 The protein content (%) of the leguminous component	46
	3.10.6 The protein production (kg ha ⁻¹) of grass/legume mixtures	46
	3.10.7 Fibre content (%) of the grass component	46
	3.10.8 Phosphorus content (%) of the grass component 3.10.9 Phosphorus content (%) of the leguminous component	46 47
	3.10.10 Phosphorus production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	47
	3.10.11 Calcium content (%) of the grass component	47
	3.10.12 Calcium content (%) of the leguminous component	48
	3.10.13 Calcium production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	48
	3.10.14 Fat content (%) of the grass component	48
	3.10.15 Ash content (%) of the grass component	48-49
	CHAPTER 4	
4	RESULTS ON THE PTK EXPERIMENTAL FARM, MOKOPANE	50
	4.1 TOTAL DM PRODUCTION (t ha ⁻¹) OF DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	50
	4.1.1 DM production of the grass/legume mixtures	50-51
	4.2 THE EFFECT OF CUTTING TREATMENTS AND CROPPING SYSTEMS ON DM PRODUCTION (t ha ⁻¹) OF THE GRASS/LEGUME MIXTURES	51
	4.3 GRASS/LEGUME RATIOS	51-52
	4.4 PROTEIN CONTENT AND PRODUCTION OF DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	52
	4.4.1 Protein content of the grass component	52-53
	4.4.2 Protein content of the leguminous component	53
	4.4.3 Total protein production (kg ha ⁻¹) of grass/legume mixtures	53-54

4.5 FIBRE CONTENT (%) OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	54 54.55
4.5.1 Fibre content of the grass component	54-55
4.6 PHOSPHORUS CONTENT AND PRODUCTION OF DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	55
4.6.1 Phosphorus content of the grass component	55
4.6.2 Phosphorus content of the leguminous component	55-56
4.6.3 Total phosphorus production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	56
4.7 CALCIUM CONTENT AND PRODUCTION OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	57
4.7.1 Calcium content of the grass component	57
4.7.2 Calcium content of the leguminous component	57
4.7.3 Total calcium production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	58
4.8 FAT CONTENT (%) OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	58
4.8.1 Fat content of the grass component	58-59
4.9 ASH CONTENT (%) OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	59
4.9.1 Ash content of the grass component	59
4.10. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS AT MOKOPANE AT THE PTK EXPERIMENTAL FARM	60
4.10.1 DM production (t ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	60
4.10.2 Cutting treatments and total DM production (t ha ⁻¹) of grass/legume mixtures	60
4.10.3 Grass/legume ratios (%)	60-61
4.10.4 The protein content (%) of the grass component	61
4.10.5 The protein content (%) of the leguminous component	61
4.10.6 The protein production (kg ha ⁻¹) of grass/legume mixtures	61
4.10.7 Fibre content (%) of the grass component	62
4.10.8 Phosphorus content (%) of the grass component	62
4.10.9 Phosphorus content (%) of the leguminous component 4.10.10 Phosphorus production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	62 62-63
4.10.11 Calcium content (%) of the grass component	63
4.10.12 Calcium content (%) of the leguminous component	63
4.10.13 Calcium production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	63
4.10.14 Fat content (%) of the grass component	64
4.10.15 Ash content (%) of the grass component	64
CHAPTER 5	
RESULTS ON DAN DISTRICT IN THE COMMUNAL FIELDS	65
5.1 TOTAL DM PRODUCTION (t ha⁻¹) OF DIFFERENET COMPONENTS 5.1.1 DM production of the grass/legume mixtures	65 65-66

5.2 THE EFFECT OF CUTTING TREATMENTS AND CROPPING SYSTEMS ON DM PRODUCTION (t ha ⁻¹) OF THE GRASS/LEGUME MIXTURES	
5.3 GRASS/LEGUME RATIOS	67
5.4 PROTEIN CONTENT AND PRODUCTION OF DIFFERENT	67
COMPONENT	(5.40)
5.4.1 Protein content of the leguminaus component	67-68 68
5.4.2 Protein content of the leguminous component 5.4.3 Total protein production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures in an early stage	68-69
5.5 FIBRE CONTENT (%) OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	69
5.5.1 Fibre content of the grass component	69
5.6 PHOSPHORUS CONTENT AND PRODUCTION OF DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	70
5.6.1 Phosphorus content of the grass component	70
5.6.2 Phosphorus content of the leguminous component	70-71
5.6.3 Total phosphorus production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	71
5.7 CALCIUM CONTENT AND PRODUCTION OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	71
5.7.1 Calcium content of the grass component	71-72
5.7.2 Calcium content of the leguminous component	72
5.7.3 Total calcium production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	72-73
5.8 FAT CONTENT (%) OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	73
5.8.1 Fat content of the grass component	73
5.9 ASH CONTENT (%) OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS	73
5.9.1 Ash content of the grass component	73-74
5.10. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS AT THE DAN DISTRICT ON THE COMMUNAL FIELDS	74
5.10.1 DM production (t ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures	74
5.10.2 Cutting treatments and total DM production (t ha ⁻¹) of grass/legume mixtures	74-75
5.10.3 Grass/legume ratios (%)	75
5.10.3 Glass/legume ratios (%) 5.10.4 The protein content (%) of the grass component	75 75
5.10.5 The protein content (%) of the leguminous component	76
5.10.6 The protein production (kg ha ⁻¹) of grass/legume mixtures	76
5.10.7 Fibre content (%) of the grass component	76
5.10.8 Phosphorus content (%) of the grass component	77
5.10.9 Phosphorus content (%) of the leguminous component	77

	5.10.10 Phosphorus production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures 5.10.11 Calcium content (%) of the grass component 5.10.12 Calcium content (%) of the leguminous component 5.10.13 Calcium production (kg ha ⁻¹) of the grass/legume mixtures 5.10.14 Fat content (%) of the grass component 5.10.15 Ash content (%) of the grass component	77 77-78 78 78 78 78 79
	CHAPTER 6	
6	CONCLUSION	80-81
7	REFERENCES	82-87
8	TABLES OF RESULTS	88-113

DECLARATION

_

Date

Date

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Firstly I would like to thank God the Redeemer for all He has done for me until completion of this study. Without limits, thanks are extended to my supervisor Prof. Chris S Dannhauser for his ever-ready advises, guidance, patience and encouragement from implementation of the project till the production of this thesis.

Lastly I would like to pass my sincere gratitude to all my co-students and University drivers, not forgetting students from Tompi Seleka Agricultural College and Mashamba N. R. for help they gave to me in the field. Gratitude is also expressed to my former supervisor Prof. Kingsley K Ayisi for the statistical advice.

DEDICATION

This paper is dedicated to the following: My elder sister and her husband, Mr. & Ms I. Manyekoane, my parents Phineas Moroko and Johanna Moloko, all my siblings, more especially my younger sister Ms Manoko Annitjie, my grandmother Mosibudi Seemola and her son James Matome. I do thank all of you for both the moral and financial support you gave me towards the completion of both my junior and Honours degrees and this study. To my wife Duduzile Constance Petunia, and my relatives, not forgetting my role model and brother in law Mr. Phuphuti Maputla and his wife Phuti Annesia; who in any way made a mark in my studies. I couldn't climb the recent ladder without you guys; I do thank you all.

LIST OF FIGURES

	FIGURES	PAGE
1.	The map showing the area where the study was conducted at the University of the North Experimental farm.	24
2.	The map showing the area where the study was conducted at the PTK	26
3.	Experimental farm. The map showing the area where the study was conducted at the Dan district on the communal fields.	28
	LIST OF TABLES	
	TABLES	PAGE
3.1.1	The effect of cropping systems on DM production (t ha-1) of sole grass and	89
	grass/legume mixtures at the UNIN experimental farm.	
3.1.2	The effect of cutting treatments and cropping systems on DM production (t ha ⁻¹) of	89
	sole grass and grass/legume mixtures at the UNIN experimental farm.	
3.1.3	The grass/legume ratio of the mixtures at different cutting stage at the UNIN	90
	experimental farm.	
3.2.1	The effect of cropping systems on protein content (%) of the grass component at the	90
	UNIN experimental farm.	
3.2.2	The effect of cropping systems on protein content (%) of the leguminous component	91
	at the UNIN experimental farm.	
3.2.3	The effect of cropping systems on protein production (kg ha ⁻¹) of sole grass and	91
	grass/legume mixtures at the UNIN experimental farm.	
3.3.1	The effect of cropping systems on fibre content (%) of the grass component at the	92
	UNIN experimental farm.	

The effect of cropping systems on phosphorus content (%) of the grass component at 92

3.4.1

the UNIN experimental farm.

- 3.4.2 The effect of cropping systems on phosphorus content (%) of the leguminous 93 component at the UNIN experimental.
- 3.4.3 The effect of cropping systems on phosphorus production (kg ha⁻¹) sole grass and 93 grass/legume mixtures at the UNIN experimental farm.
- 3.5.1 The effect of cropping systems on calcium content (%) of the grass component at 94 the UNIN experimental farm.
- 3.5.2 The effect of cropping systems on calcium content (%) of the leguminous 94 component at the UNIN experimental farm.
- 3.5.3 The effect of cropping systems on calcium production (kg ha⁻¹) of sole grass and 95 grass/legume mixtures at the UNIN experimental farm.
- 3.6.1 The effect of cropping systems on fibre content (%) of the grass component at the 95 UNIN experimental farm.
- 3.7.1 The effect of cropping systems on ash content (%) of the grass component at the 96 UNIN experimental farm.
- 4.1.1 The effect of cropping systems on DM production (t ha⁻¹) of sole grass and 97 grass/legume mixtures at the PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.1.2 The effect of cutting treatments and cropping systems on DM production (t ha⁻¹) of 97 sole grass and grass/legume mixtures at the PTK experimental, Mokopane.
- 4.1.3 The grass/legume ratio of the mixtures at different cutting stage at the PTK 98 experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.2.1 The effect of cropping systems on protein content (%) of the grass component at the 98 PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.2.2 The effect of cropping systems on protein content (%) of the legume component at 99 the PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.2.3 The effect of cropping systems on protein production (kg ha⁻¹) of sole grass and 99 grass/legume mixtures at the PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.3.1 The effect of cropping systems on fibre content (%) of the grass component at the 100 PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.4.1 The effect of cropping systems on phosphorus content (%) of the grass component at 100 the PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.

- 4.4.2 The effect of cropping systems on phosphorus content (%) of the leguminous 101 component at the PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.4.3 The effect of cropping systems on phosphorus production (kg ha⁻¹) sole grass and 101 grass/legume mixtures at the PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.5.1 The effect of cropping systems on calcium content (%) of the grass component at 102 the PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.5.2 The effect of cropping systems on calcium content (%) of the leguminous 102 component at the PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.5.3 The effect of cropping systems on calcium production (kg ha⁻¹) of sole grass and 103 grass/legume mixtures at the PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.6.1 The effect of cropping systems on fibre content (%) of the grass component at the 103 PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 4.7.1 The effect of cropping systems on ash content (%) of the grass component at the 104 PTK experimental farm, Mokopane.
- 5.1.1 The effect of cropping systems on DM production (t ha⁻¹) of sole grass and 105 grass/legume mixtures at the Dan district.
- 5.1.2 The effect of cutting treatments and cropping systems on DM production (t ha⁻¹) of 105 sole grass and grass/legume mixtures at a later cutting stage at the Dan district.
- 5.1.3 The grass/legume ratio of the mixtures at different cutting stage at the Dan district. 106
- 5.2.1 The effect of cropping systems on protein content (%) of the grass component at the 106 Dan district.
- 5.2.2 The effect of cropping systems on protein content (%) of the leguminous component 107 at the Dan district.
- 5.2.3 The effect of cropping systems on protein production (kg ha⁻¹) of sole grass and 107 grass/legume mixtures at the Dan district.
- 5.3.1 The effect of cropping systems on fibre content (%) of the grass component at the 108 Dan district.
- 5.4.1 The effect of cropping systems on phosphorus content (%) of the grass component at 108 the Dan district.

- 5.4.2 The effect of cropping systems on phosphorus content (%) of the leguminous 109 component at the Dan district.
- 5.4.3 The effect of cropping systems on phosphorus production (kg ha⁻¹) sole grass and 109 grass/legume mixtures at the Dan district.
- 5.5.1 The effect of cropping systems on calcium content (%) of the grass component at 110 the Dan district.
- 5.5.2 The effect of cropping systems on calcium content (%) of the leguminous 110 component at the Dan district.
- 5.5.3 The effect of cropping systems on calcium production (kg ha⁻¹) of sole grass and 111 grass/legume mixtures at the Dan district.
- 5.6.1 The effect of cropping systems on fibre content (%) of the grass component at the 111 Dan district.
- 5.7.1 The effect of cropping systems on ash content (%) of the grass component at the 112 Dan district.
- 6.1.1 Feeding potential of grass/legume mixtures in terms of phosphorus production (kg 112 ha⁻¹)
- 6.1.2 Feeding potential of grass/legume mixtures in terms of calcium production (kg ha⁻¹) 113

THE INFLUENCE OF FORAGE LEGUMES AND NITROGEN FERTILIZATION ON ANNUAL FODDER GRASSES, IN DIFFERENT INTERCROPPING SYSTEMS IN THE LIMPOPO PROVINCE

ABSTRACT

Identification of annual grass/legume intercropping or mixtures with superior nutrient traits and Dry matter (DM) production is critical to increasing productivity of the crop and animal production among small-scale farmers in the Limpopo Province. Three similar field experiments were established at different locations in the Province to determine the significance of the contribution of annual summer legumes, and cutting treatments on the nutritive value and dry matter accumulation of the popular forage sorghum (Sorghum spp) and pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum) intercropped with cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) and dolichos (Lablab purpureus). The cropping systems evaluated were sole sorghum, sole pearl millet, sorghum + cowpea, sorghum + dolichos, pearl millet + cowpea and pearl millet + dolichos. The treatments sole sorghum and pearl millet significantly ($P \le 0.05$) outperformed the other treatments in terms of DM production at most cutting stages. The remaining four treatments though, inferior in DM in this study, yielded better than the average yield on farmers' fields in the Province. Higher protein content was obtained in mixtures than in sole cropping, and generally there was lower protein production and content at matured stages (CT3) in the study. The other chemical composition analyzed in the study was not significant for both mixtures and sole cultures.

Keywords: Annual grasses, annual legumes, cropping systems, dry matter intercropping and protein,